

Affirmative Action Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies' affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 CFR §1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities in the federal government

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

- a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) Answer No
- b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD) Answer No

For Cluster GS-01 to GS-10 there are 16 Employees of which 4 are Disabled for a 25% rate for this cluster For Cluster GS-10 to SES there are 104 Employees of which 14 are Disabled for a 13.59% for this cluster Total number of employees 120 of which 18 are Disabled for a total of 15% Identify as having a disability

*For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

- a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD) Answer No
- b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) Answer No

For this reporting period, NEA is at 6.25% for the GS-1 to GS-10 cluster and 1.92% for the GS-11 to SES cluster. Rounding up the 1.9 gives the agency the 2.0% target for this section...

| Grade Level Cluster(GS or Alternate Pay Planb) | Total | Reportable Disability | | Targeted Disability | |
|--|-------|-----------------------|-------|---------------------|------|
| | # | # | % | # | % |
| Numarical Goal | -- | 12% | | 2% | |
| Grades GS-11 to SES | 109 | 18 | 16.51 | 2 | 1.83 |
| Grades GS-1 to GS-10 | 12 | 2 | 16.67 | 1 | 8.33 |

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

As Schedule A applications are referred for consideration, selecting officials are educated on the steps to increase hiring of PWD/PWTD and the Schedule A appointment process and the targeted goals of the agency. The Accessibility coordinator has established a relationship with the workforce recruitment program to use as an avenue to hire PWD/PWTD

Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

Answer Yes

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

| Disability Program Task | # of FTE Staff By Employment Status | | | Responsible Official (Name, Title, Office Email) |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|
| | Full Time | Part Time | Collateral Duty | |
| Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees | 0 | 0 | 1 | Linda Williams Human Resources Specialist williamsl@arts.gov |
| Section 508 Compliance | 0 | 0 | 1 | Sharon Jones ITM Specialist joness@arts.gov |
| Processing applications from PWD and PWTD | 0 | 0 | 1 | Courtney Coffe Human Resources Specialist cofferc@arts.gov |
| Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD | 0 | 0 | 1 | Craig McCord Director mccordc@arts.gov |
| Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account | 0 | 0 | 2 | Linda Williams Human Resources Specialist williamsl@arts.gov |
| Architectural Barriers Act Compliance | 0 | 0 | 1 | Linda Williams Human Resources Specialist williamsl@arts.gov |

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If “yes”, describe the training that disability program staff have received. If “no”, describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

Answer Yes

Yes, the Reasonable Accommodation/Schedule A Coordinator received formal training from a previous agency and on-the-job training at the Agency. All employees receive RA training in new comers orientation. The agency is developing a web based training through Know B4

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer Yes

Section III: Program Deficiencies In The Disability Program

Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency's recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICATIONS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

PWD/PWTD self-identify when applying for jobs, for example Schedule A applicants submit Schedule A letters certifying their disability status and eligibility for consideration under this special hiring authority. Disabled Veteran applicants submit their DD-214, VA letters certifying the disability eligibility and SF-15 to support their applications. Selected employees self-identify their status by completing the SF-256 form.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency's use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce

The Agency accepts Schedule A applications through the USAJOBS database, when positions vacancies are announced. Applications may also be received separately through the Schedule A Coordinator. Those applications will be submitted to Management for consideration as positions become available.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority; and, (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

Resumes will be considered based on the specialized experience required of the positions. Supporting documents such as the Schedule A letter will be verified to ensure they meet the requirements. Applicants who meet the eligibility will be referred for consideration. Selecting Officials will be briefed of the requirements of the Schedule A Special Hiring Authority.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If "yes", describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If "no", describe the agency's plan to provide this training.

Answer Yes

Per NEA Directive 2275 Employee Training and Development it is required of all newly appointed supervisors to attend the initial 40 hour training course offered at the Graduate School USA (Introduction to Supervision or Federal Human Resources Management for Supervisors and Managers). Both courses from the Graduate School cover the topic of hiring authorities.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency's efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

The Agency continues to partner with the Workforce Recruitment Program for College Students with Disabilities (WRP), a

recruitment and referral program that connects federal and private sector employers nationwide with highly motivated college students and recent graduates with disabilities who are eager to prove their abilities in the workplace through summer or permanent jobs. We will coordinate expanded relationships to better capture applicants with disabilities.

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.

- a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD) Answer Yes
- b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD) Answer Yes

Yes, triggers exist with attracting PWD and PWTD that meet the qualifications of open vacancies. Our goal is to build relationship with more Disability Recruitment Organizations to bridge the gaps and attract more PWD and PWTD candidates who meet the various qualifications and broaden the audience, with hopes of a higher response rate.

| New Hires | Total (#) | Reportable Disability | | Targeted Disability | |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Permanent Workforce (%) | Temporary Workforce (%) | Permanent Workforce (%) | Temporary Workforce (%) |
| % of Total Applicants | | | | | |
| % of Qualified Applicants | | | | | |
| % of New Hires | | | | | |

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. New Hires for MCO (PWD) Answer Yes
- b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD) Answer Yes

Yes, triggers exist with attracting PWD and PWTD candidates that meet the required Arts related requirements for the Agency’s mission critical positions. Our goal is to build more relationships with Disability Recruitment Organizations to bridge the gaps and attract more PWD and PWTD candidates who meet the various qualifications.

| New Hires to Mission-Critical Occupations | Total (#) | Reportable Disability | Targetable Disability |
|---|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | New Hires (%) | New Hires (%) |
| Numerical Goal | -- | 12% | 2% |

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD) Answer No
- b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD) Answer No

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Promotions for MCO (PWD) Answer No
- b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD) Answer No

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency’s plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

Each employee is required to have an annual Individual Development Plan (IDP) created in coordination with their 1st level supervisor and supported by appropriate resources. The Agency promotes workplace development programs in order to give managers, supervisors and employees the skills and competencies needed to improve their advancement opportunities. For more information, see below.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITES

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

Each employee is required to have an annual Individual Development Plan (IDP) created in coordination with their 1st level supervisor and supported by appropriate resources. The Agency continues to promote workplace development programs in order to give managers, supervisors and employees the skills and competencies needed to improve their advancement opportunities. Specifically, the Agency offers an annual Leadership Development Program and the Preparing to Lead developmental program along with the Agency’s internal learning management system that supports leadership development resources for all eligible employees. The Agency also maintains an overall training budget to provide opportunities for employees to attend Federal job related offsite training courses, conferences, seminars etc. The Agency continues to partner with the Computer/Electronic Accommodations Program (CAP) which provides technology based assistance and other servicing programs to support employees with disabilities.

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/ approval to participate.

| Career Development Opportunities | Total Participants | | PWD | | PWTD | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Applicants (#) | Selectees (#) | Applicants (%) | Selectees (%) | Applicants (%) | Selectees (%) |
| Fellowship Programs | | | | | | |
| Mentoring Programs | | | | | | |
| Coaching Programs | | | | | | |
| Training Programs | | | | | | |
| Detail Programs | | | | | | |
| Internship Programs | | 12 | | | | |

| Career Development Opportunities | Total Participants | | PWD | | PWTD | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Applicants (#) | Selectees (#) | Applicants (%) | Selectees (%) | Applicants (%) | Selectees (%) |
| Other Career Development Programs | | 4 | | | | |

3. Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Applicants (PWD) Answer No
- b. Selections (PWD) Answer No

4. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Applicants (PWTD) Answer No
- b. Selections (PWTD) Answer No

C. AWARDS

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

- a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD) Answer No
- b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD) Answer No

| Time-Off Awards | Total (#) | Reportable Disability % | Without Reportable Disability % | Targeted Disability % | Without Targeted Disability % |
|--|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 hours: Awards Given | 4 | 10.00 | 2.04 | 33.33 | 5.88 |
| Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Total Hours | 28 | 60.00 | 16.33 | 133.33 | 47.06 |
| Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Average Hours | 7 | 30.00 | 8.16 | 133.33 | 11.76 |
| Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 hours: Awards Given | 3 | 0.00 | 3.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Total Hours | 64 | 0.00 | 65.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Average Hours | 21 | 0.00 | 21.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 hours: Awards Given | 1 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Total Hours | 24 | 0.00 | 24.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Average Hours | 24 | 0.00 | 24.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Time-Off Awards | Total (#) | Reportable Disability % | Without Reportable Disability % | Targeted Disability % | Without Targeted Disability % |
|---|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 hours: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Total Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Average Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Total Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Average Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Cash Awards | Total (#) | Reportable Disability % | Without Reportable Disability % | Targeted Disability % | Without Targeted Disability % |
|--|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Awards Given | 2 | 5.00 | 1.02 | 33.33 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Total Amount | 1710 | 3845.00 | 960.20 | 25633.33 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Average Amount | 855 | 3845.00 | 960.20 | 25633.33 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Awards Given | 19 | 20.00 | 15.31 | 0.00 | 23.53 |
| Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Total Amount | 20477 | 20945.00 | 16620.41 | 0.00 | 24641.18 |
| Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Average Amount | 1077 | 5235.00 | 1107.14 | 0.00 | 6158.82 |
| Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Awards Given | 12 | 10.00 | 10.20 | 0.00 | 11.76 |
| Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Total Amount | 30415 | 26455.00 | 25636.73 | 0.00 | 31123.53 |
| Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Average Amount | 2534 | 13225.00 | 2563.27 | 0.00 | 15558.82 |
| Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Awards Given | 35 | 35.00 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 41.18 |
| Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Total Amount | 127473 | 131425.00 | 103253.06 | 0.00 | 154617.65 |
| Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Average Amount | 3642 | 18775.00 | 3686.73 | 0.00 | 22088.24 |
| Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Awards Given | 20 | 25.00 | 15.31 | 33.33 | 23.53 |
| Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Total Amount | 88478 | 114555.00 | 66905.10 | 153866.67 | 107617.65 |
| Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Average Amount | 4423 | 22910.00 | 4460.20 | 153866.67 | -200.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Awards Given | 37 | 20.00 | 32.65 | 0.00 | 23.53 |
| Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Total Amount | 250580 | 117130.00 | 220788.78 | 0.00 | 137800.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Average Amount | 6772 | 29280.00 | 6898.98 | 0.00 | 34447.06 |

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance- based pay increases? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Pay Increases (PWD)

Answer No

b. Pay Increases (PWTD)

Answer No

| Other Awards | Total (#) | Reportable Disability % | Without Reportable Disability % | Targeted Disability % | Without Targeted Disability % |
|--------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
|--------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If “yes”, describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD)

Answer No

b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD)

Answer No

D. PROMOTIONS

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer No

b. Grade GS-15

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer No

c. Grade GS-14

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer No

d. Grade GS-13

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer No

Employee count at these grade levels have a low representation for self-identification of disability.

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

| | | |
|---|--------|----|
| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| b. Grade GS-15 | | |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| c. Grade GS-14 | | |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| d. Grade GS-13 | | |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No |

| |
|---|
| Employee count at these grade levels have a low representation for self-identification of disability. |
|---|

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----|
| a. New Hires to SES (PWD) | Answer | No |
| b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD) | Answer | No |
| c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD) | Answer | No |
| d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD) | Answer | No |

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

| | | |
|------------------------------|--------|----|
| a. New Hires to SES (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD) | Answer | No |

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Executives

| | | |
|--|--------|----|
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Answer | No |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWD) | Answer | No |
| b. Managers | | |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Answer | No |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWD) | Answer | No |
| c. Supervisors | | |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) | Answer | No |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWD) | Answer | No |

6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Executives

| | | |
|---|--------|----|
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No |

b. Managers

| | | |
|---|--------|----|
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No |

c. Supervisors

| | | |
|---|--------|----|
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Answer | No |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) | Answer | No |

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

| | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|----|
| a. New Hires for Executives (PWD) | Answer | No |
| b. New Hires for Managers (PWD) | Answer | No |
| c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD) | Answer | No |

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

| | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|----|
| a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD) | Answer | No |
|------------------------------------|--------|----|

- b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD) Answer No
- c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD) Answer No

Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If “no”, please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

Answer No

The Agency did not have Schedule A employees with a disability.

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

- a. Voluntary Separations (PWD) Answer No
- b. Involuntary Separations (PWD) Answer No

| Seperations | Total # | Reportable Disabilities % | Without Reportable Disabilities % |
|---|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Removal | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Resignation | 1 | 0.00 | 0.70 |
| Permanent Workforce: Retirement | 3 | 0.00 | 2.11 |
| Permanent Workforce: Other Separations | 5 | 4.00 | 2.82 |
| Permanent Workforce: Total Separations | 9 | 4.00 | 5.63 |

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

- a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD) Answer No
- b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD) Answer No

| Seperations | Total # | Targeted Disabilities % | Without Targeted Disabilities % |
|---|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Removal | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Resignation | 1 | 0.00 | 0.61 |
| Permanent Workforce: Retirement | 3 | 0.00 | 1.83 |
| Permanent Workforce: Other Separations | 5 | 0.00 | 3.05 |

| Seperations | Total # | Targeted Disabilities % | Without Targeted Disabilities % |
|--|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Permanent Workforce: Total Separations | 9 | 0.00 | 5.49 |

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

n/a

B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

<https://www.arts.gov/site-policies/accessibility> Notice of Rights Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. § 794d) Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act (Section 508) requires all electronic and information technology (also referred to as information and communication technology or ICT) that is developed, procured, maintained, or used by a federal agency to be accessible to people with disabilities. Examples of ICT include web sites, telephones, multimedia devices, and copiers. Access available to individuals with disabilities must be comparable to access available to others. Standards for Section 508 compliance are developed and maintained by the United States Access Board. Further information about the Access Board’s standards and Section 508 generally may be found at www.section508.gov. For information about filing a complaint against the National Endowment for the Arts under Section 508, please contact Sharon Jones, the Arts Endowment’s 508 Coordinator, at helpdesk@arts.gov.

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

<https://www.arts.gov/site-policies/accessibility> Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. §§ 4151--57) The Architectural Barriers Act (ABA) requires access to facilities that are designed, built, altered, or leased with Federal funds. The Access Board is the federal agency responsible for enforcing the ABA. The Access Board’s accessibility standards are available on their website at www.access-board.gov/guidelines-and-standards/buildings-and-sites/about-the-aba-standards, and information about filing a complaint may be found at www.access-board.gov/aba-enforcement/file-a-complaint.

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

The agency is currently evaluating promising practices for ensuring accessibility and 508 compliance of all publicly available information on the agency website. The Agency facilities are relatively new with all required accessibility available and upgraded with new networking technology.

C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

Average time for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations is no more than 5 business days, after receipt of the

request.

- 2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency’s reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

The Agency’s current Reasonable Accommodations Directive, as approved by EEOC, ensures processing of reasonable accommodation request as soon as practical, whether or not the written confirmation has been provided. Written confirmation is made as soon as possible following the oral request.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

The agency has not had a PAS request but does have publicly available PAS procedures.

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

- 1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the governmentwide average?

Answer No

- 2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer No

- 3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

- 1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?

Answer No

- 2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer No

- 3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation

during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

- 1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer No

- 2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer N/A

- 3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments

- 4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

N/A

- 5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

N/A

- 6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

N/A